

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 ERIN WRIGHT, individually and as Class
14 Representative of and for all those
similarly situated,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 GENERAL MILLS, INC., and DOES 1
18 through 100,

19 Defendant.

20
21 CASE NO. 08CV1532-L-LSP

22 **ORDER GRANTING JOINT
MOTION [doc. #4] EXTENDING
TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
PENDING MOTION TO REMAND**

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Good cause appearing, the parties' joint motion extending time for defendant to
2 respond to the complaint pending motion to remand is **GRANTED**. The deadline for
3 Defendant General Mills, Inc. to answer, file a motion to dismiss, or otherwise respond
4 to Plaintiff Erin Wright's Complaint shall be the later of: (a) twenty (20) days after the
5 Court rules on Plaintiff's to-be-filed motion to remand, or (b) September 16, 2008 if
6 Plaintiff does not file a motion to remand.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 DATED: August 25, 2008

9
10 
M. JAMES LORENZ
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

11 COPY TO:

12 HON. LEO S. PAPAS
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 ALL PARTIES/COUNSEL

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28